

COMPACTNESS OF COMMUTATORS OF MULTILINEAR SINGULAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS WITH NON-SMOOTH KERNELS

RUI BU AND JIECHENG CHEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the behavior for commutators of a class of bilinear singular integral operator associated with non-smooth kernels on the products of weighted Lebesgue spaces is considered. By some new maximal functions to control the commutators of bilinear singular integral operators and CMO functions, compactness of the commutators is proved.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, the study of multilinear analysis becomes an active topic in harmonic analysis. The first important work, among several pioneer papers, is the famous work by Coifman and Meyer in [7], [8], where they established a bilinear multiplier theorem on the Lebesgue spaces. Note that a multilinear multiplier actually is a convolution operator. Naturally one will study the non-convolution operator

$$(1.1) \quad T(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_{(\mathbf{R}^n)^m} K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m) dy_1 \cdots dy_m,$$

where $K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m)$ is a locally integral function defined away from the diagonal $x = y_1 = \cdots = y_m$ in $(\mathbf{R}^n)^{m+1}$, $x \notin \cap_{j=1}^m \text{supp } f_j$ and f_1, \dots, f_m are bounded functions with compact supports. Precisely, $T: \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \mapsto \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is an m -linear operator associated with the kernel $K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m)$. If there exist positive constants A and $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ such that K satisfies the size condition

$$(1.2) \quad |K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m)| \leq \frac{A}{(|x - y_1| + \cdots + |x - y_m|)^{mn}}$$

for all $(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) \in (\mathbf{R}^n)^{m+1}$ with $x \neq y_j$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$; and the smoothness condition

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & |K(x, y_1, \dots, y_j, \dots, y_m) - K(x', y_1, \dots, y_j, \dots, y_m)| \\ & \leq \frac{A|x - x'|^\gamma}{(\sum_{i=1}^m |x - y_i|)^{mn+\gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B20, 47B07; Secondary 42B25.

Key words and phrases. singular integral operator, maximal function, weighted norm inequality, commutator, compact operator.

whenever $|x - x'| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |x - y_j|$ and also for each j ,

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & |K(x, y_1, \dots, y_j, \dots, y_m) - K(x, y_1, \dots, y'_j, \dots, y_m)| \\ & \leq \frac{A|y_j - y'_j|^\gamma}{(\sum_{i=1}^m |x - y_i|)^{mn+\gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $|y_j - y'_j| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |x - y_j|$, then we call that K is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel and denote it by $K \in m - CZK(A, \gamma)$. Also, T is called the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator associated with the kernel K . In [16], Grafakos and Torres established the multilinear $T1$ theorem, so that they obtained the strong type boundedness on products of L^p spaces and endpoint weak type estimates of operators T associated with kernels $K \in m - CZK(A, \gamma)$. Furthermore, the A_p weights (see Definition 1.2) on the operator T and on the corresponding maximal operator were considered in [15]. After then, the study of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator is fruitful. The reader can refer to [14], [15], [16], [22], [23], [24], [25] and the references therein.

However, there are some multilinear singular integral operators, including the Calderón commutator, whose kernels do not satisfy (1.4) (see [10]). Here, the Calderón commutator is defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{C}_{m+1}(f, a_1, \dots, a_m)(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m (A_j(x) - A_j(y))}{(x - y)^{m+1}} f(y) dy,$$

where $A'_j = a_j$. In [10], the authors introduced a class of multilinear singular integral operators whose kernels satisfy “smoothness conditions” weaker than those of the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund kernels, via the generalized approximation to the identity. They first established a weak type estimate, for $p_1, \dots, p_{m+1} \in [1, \infty]$ and $p \in (0, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{p_j}$,

$$\|\mathcal{C}_{m+1}(f, a_1, \dots, a_m)\|_{L^{p, \infty}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_{m+1}}(\mathbf{R})} \prod_{j=1}^m \|a_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbf{R})}.$$

If $\min_{1 \leq j \leq m+1} p_j > 1$, then the strong type estimate was also established. The weighted estimates, including the multiple weights, of the maximal Calderón commutator were considered in [9] and [14]. Moreover, there are a large amount of work related to singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels. The reader may refer [19], [18] and [11], among many interesting works.

In this article, we are interested in the compactness of the commutator of multilinear singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels and CMO functions, where CMO denotes the closure of C_c^∞ in the BMO topology. For the sake of convenience, we will write out the case of compactness in a bilinear setting. In particular, We will study the compactness of T , where we assume that T is a bilinear singular integral operator associated with kernel K in the sense (1.1) and satisfying (1.2), and

(i) T is bounded from

$$(1.6) \quad L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \times L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{1/2, \infty}(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

(ii) for $x, x', y_1, y_2 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ with $8|x - x'| < \min_{1 \leq j \leq 2} |x - y_j|$,

$$(1.7) \quad |K(x, y_1, y_2) - K(x', y_1, y_2)| \leq \frac{D\tau^\gamma}{(|x - y_1| + |x - y_2|)^{2n+\gamma}},$$

where D is a constant and τ is a number such that $2|x - x'| < \tau$ and $4\tau < \min_{1 \leq j \leq 2} |x - y_j|$. It was pointed in [20] that the above non-smooth kernel includes the non-smooth kernel introduced by Doung et al. in [9], [10]. For $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we consider commutators

$$\begin{aligned} T_b^1(f_1, f_2) &= [b, T]_1(f_1, f_2) = T(bf_1, f_2) - bT(f_1, f_2), \\ T_b^2(f_1, f_2) &= [b, T]_2(f_1, f_2) = T(f_1, bf_2) - bT(f_1, f_2). \end{aligned}$$

For $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2) \in \text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n) \times \text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we consider the iterated commutator

$$T_{\vec{b}}(f_1, f_2) = [b_2, [b_1, T]_1]_2(f_1, f_2),$$

and, in the sense of (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} [b, T]_1(f_1, f_2)(x) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} K(x, y_1, y_2)(b(y_1) - b(x))f_1(y_1)f_2(y_2)dy_1dy_2, \\ [b, T]_2(f_1, f_2)(x) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} K(x, y_1, y_2)(b(y_2) - b(x))f_1(y_1)f_2(y_2)dy_1dy_2, \\ T_{\vec{b}}(f_1, f_2)(x) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} K(x, y_1, y_2)(b_1(y_1) - b_1(x))(b_2(y_2) - b_2(x))f_1(y_1)f_2(y_2)d\vec{y}. \end{aligned}$$

Our aim is to obtain the compactness of above commutators. Before stating our results, we briefly describe the background and our motivation. In [3], Calderón first proposed the concept of compactness in the multilinear setting and Bényi and Torres put forward an equivalent one in [2]. Bényi and Torres extended the result of compactness for linear singular integrals by Uchiyama [27] to the bilinear setting and obtained that $[b, T]_1$, $[b, T]_2$, $[b_2, [b_1, T]_1]_2$ are compact bilinear operators from $L^{p_1}(\mathbf{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ when $b, b_1, b_2 \in \text{CMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/p \leq 1$. Recently, Clop and Cruz [6] considered the compactness of the linear commutator on weighted spaces. For the bilinear case, Bényi et al. [1] extended the result of [2] to the weighted case, and they obtained that all $[b, T]_1$, $[b, T]_2$, $[b_2, [b_1, T]_1]_2$ are compact operators from $L^{p_1}(w_1) \times L^{p_2}(w_2)$ to $L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})$ when $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$, $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/p < 1$, $\vec{w} \in A_p(\mathbf{R}^n) \times A_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $b, b_1, b_2 \in \text{CMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. We note that in [1], T is a Calderón-Zygmund operator with smooth kernel. Hence, in this article, we will consider the same compactness for these commutators by assuming T is an operator associated with non-smooth kernel. Although we will adopt the concept of compactness proposed in [2] (The reader can refer to [2] and [28] for more properties of compact and precompact) and some basic ideas used in [2], [4], [5], [20], [22] and [25], our proof meet some special difficulties so that some new ideas and estimates must be bought in. Particularly, some specific maximal functions will be involved.

We denote the closed ball of radius r centered at the origin in the normed space X as $B_{r,X} = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq r\}$.

Definition 1. A bilinear operator $T : X \times Y \mapsto Z$ is called compact if $T(B_{1,X} \times B_{1,Y})$ is precompact in Z .

Definition 2. A weight w belongs to the class A_p , $1 < p < \infty$, if

$$\sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(y)dy \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(y)^{1-p'} dy \right)^{p-1} < \infty.$$

A weight w belongs to the class A_1 if there is a constant C such that

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(y) dy \leq C \inf_{x \in Q} w(x).$$

Definition 3. Let $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$ and $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ with $1 \leq p_1, p_2 < \infty$. Given $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2)$, set $\nu_{\vec{w}} = \prod_{j=1}^2 w_j^{p/p_j}$. We say that \vec{w} satisfies the $A_{\vec{p}}$ condition if

$$\sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \nu_{\vec{w}} \right)^{1/p} \prod_{j=1}^2 \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w_j^{1-p_j'} \right)^{1/p_j'} < \infty.$$

Here, $\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w_j^{1-p_j'} \right)^{1/p_j'}$ is understood as $(\inf_Q w_j)^{-1}$, when $p_j = 1$.

The following two theorems are our main results:

Theorem 1. Let T be a bilinear operator satisfying condition (1.6) and its kernel K satisfy (1.2), (1.7). Assume $b \in \text{CMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $p_1, p_2 \in (1, \infty)$, $p \in (1, \infty)$ such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\nu_{\vec{w}} \in A_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then $[b, T]_1, [b, T]_2$ are compact from $L^{p_1}(\mathbf{R}^n, w_1) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbf{R}^n, w_2)$ to $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})$.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we need the following result which has independent interest.

Theorem 2. Let T be a bilinear operator satisfying condition (1.6) and its kernel K satisfy (1.2), (1.7). Assume $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $p_1, p_2 \in (1, \infty)$, $p \in (0, \infty)$ such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$, $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then

$$\|[b, T]_1(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})}, \|[b, T]_2(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1)} \|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}(w_2)}.$$

Remark 1.1 Theorem 1 and 2 are also true for the iterated commutator $[b_2, [b_1, T]_1]_2$, and their proofs are similar to the proof of Theorem 1 and 2. We leave the detail to the interested reader.

We make some conventions. In this paper, we always denote a positive constant by C which is independent of the main parameters and its value may differ from line to line. For a measurable set E , χ_E denotes its characteristic function. For a fixed p with $p \in [1, \infty)$, p' denotes the dual index of p . We also denote $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ with scalar functions f_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Given $\alpha > 0$ and a cube Q , $\ell(Q)$ denotes the side length of Q , and αQ denotes the cube which is the same center as Q and $\ell(\alpha Q) = \alpha \ell(Q)$. f_Q denotes the average of f over Q . Let M be the standard Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. For $0 < \delta < \infty$, M_δ is the maximal operator defined by

$$M_\delta f(x) = M(|f|^\delta)^{1/\delta}(x) = \left(\sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)|^\delta dy \right)^{1/\delta},$$

$M^\#$ is the sharp maximal operator defined by Fefferman and Stein [12],

$$M^\# f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \inf_c \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - c| dy \approx \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - f_Q| dy,$$

and

$$M_\delta^\# f(x) = M^\#(|f|^\delta)^{1/\delta}(x).$$

It is known that, when $0 < p, \delta < \infty$, $w \in A_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, there exists a $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.8) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (M_\delta f(x))^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (M_\delta^\# f(x))^p w(x) dx$$

for any function f for which the left-hand side is finite.

2. A MULTILINEAR MAXIMAL OPERATOR

We need some basis facts about Orlicz spaces, for more information about these spaces the reader may consult [26]. For $\Phi(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)$ and a cube Q in \mathbf{R}^n , we define

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_{L(\log L), Q} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \leq 1\}.$$

It is obvious that $\|f\|_{L(\log L), Q} > 1$ if and only if $\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \Phi(|f(x)|) dx > 1$. The generalized Hölder inequality in Orlicz space together with the John-Nirenberg inequality imply that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b(y) - b_Q| f(y) dy \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L(\log L), Q}.$$

Define the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}$ by

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^2 \|f_j\|_{L(\log L), Q},$$

where the supremum is taken over all the cubes containing x . The following boundedness for $\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})$ was proved in [22].

Lemma 1. *If $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{p_j}$, and $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, then $\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})$ is bounded from $L^{p_1}(w_1) \times L^{p_2}(w_2)$ to $L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})$.*

Lemma 1 is helpful in the proof of Theorem 2. Besides this maximal operator, we need several other maximal operators in the following.

In [22], a maximal function $\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})$ was introduced, and its definition is

$$\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^2 \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_j(y_j)| dy_j \right),$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q containing x . The boundedness of $\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})$ on weighted spaces was considered in [22, Theorem 3.3].

Furthermore, Grafakos, Liu, and Yang [14] introduced some new multilinear maximal operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{2,1}(\vec{f})(x) &= \sup_{Q \ni x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_1(y_1)| dy_1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} |f_2(y_2)| dy_2 \right), \\ \mathcal{M}_{2,2}(\vec{f})(x) &= \sup_{Q \ni x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_2(y_2)| dy_2 \right) \left(\frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} |f_1(y_1)| dy_1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{f} = (f_1, f_2)$ and each f_j ($j \in \{1, 2\}$) is a locally integrable function. The following boundedness of $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2,2}$ were proved in [14].

Lemma 2. *Let $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{p_j}$, and $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2,2}$ are bounded from $L^{p_1}(w_1) \times L^{p_2}(w_2)$ to $L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})$.*

In addition, Hu [17] introduced another kind of bilinear maximal operators \mathcal{M}_{β}^1 and \mathcal{M}_{β}^2 which was defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{\beta}^1(\vec{f})(x) &= \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_1(y_1)| dy_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} 2^{k\beta} \frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} |f_2(y_2)| dy_2, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\beta}^2(\vec{f})(x) &= \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_2(y_2)| dy_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} 2^{k\beta} \frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} |f_1(y_1)| dy_1,\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ and the supremum is taken over all cubes Q containing x . As it is well known, a weight $w \in A_{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ implies that there exists a $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that for all cubes Q and any set $E \subset Q$,

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{w(E)}{w(Q)} \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{|Q|} \right)^{\theta}.$$

For a fixed $\theta \in (0, 1)$, set

$$R_{\theta} = \{w \in A_{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n) : w \text{ satisfies (2.4)}\}.$$

In [17], the following boundedness of \mathcal{M}_{β}^1 and \mathcal{M}_{β}^2 were proved.

Lemma 3. *Let $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{p_j}$, $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ and $\nu_{\vec{w}} \in R_{\theta}$ for some θ such that $\beta < n\theta \min\{1/p_1, 1/p_2\}$. Then \mathcal{M}_{β}^1 and \mathcal{M}_{β}^2 are bounded from $L^{p_1}(w_1) \times L^{p_2}(w_2)$ to $L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})$.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

The proof of Theorem 2 will depend on some pointwise estimates using sharp maximal functions. The pointwise estimates are the following:

Lemma 4. *Let T be a bilinear operator satisfying condition (1.6) and its kernel K satisfy (1.2), (1.7), if $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$. Then for all \vec{f} in any product of $L^{p_j}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ spaces with $1 \leq p_j < \infty$*

$$M_{\delta}^{\#}(T(\vec{f}))(x) \leq C \mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{2,i}(\vec{f})(x).$$

The proof of this Lemma uses some ideas of [22, Theorem 3.2] and the following Lemma 5. Its proof is not hard, so we omit.

Lemma 5. *Let T be a bilinear operator satisfying condition (1.6) and its kernel K satisfy (1.2), (1.7). If T_b^1, T_b^2 be commutators with $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. For $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ with $0 < \delta < 1/2$ let $r > 1$ and $0 < \beta < n$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending on δ and ϵ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^2 M_{\delta}^{\#}(T_b^i(\vec{f}))(x) &\leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \left(\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + M_{\epsilon}(T(\vec{f}))(x) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \{\mathcal{M}_{\beta}^i(f_1^r, f_2^r)(x)\}^{1/r} \right)\end{aligned}$$

for all $\vec{f} = (f_1, f_2)$ of bounded functions with compact support.

Proof. We only write out the proof of $M_\delta^\#(T_b^1(\vec{f}))(x)$, the other can be obtained by symmetry. In our proof we will use some ideas of [25]. For a fixed $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, a cube Q centered at x and constants c, λ , because $0 < \delta < 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_b^1(\vec{f})(z)|^\delta - |c|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta} \leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_b^1(\vec{f})(z) - c|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta} \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |(b(z) - \lambda)T(f_1, f_2)(z) - T((b(z) - \lambda)f_1, f_2)(z) - c|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta} \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |(b(z) - \lambda)T(f_1, f_2)(z)|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta} \\
 & \quad + \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |T((b(z) - \lambda)f_1, f_2)(z) - c|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta} \\
 & = I_1 + I_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $Q^* = 8^n Q$, $\lambda = b_{Q^*}$. The proof of the first part is the same as [25, Theorem 3.1]. Therefore, we omit the proof, and from [25, Theorem 3.1], we obtain that

$$I_1 \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} M_\epsilon(T(f_1, f_2))(x).$$

Hence, we only consider the second part I_2 . We decompose f_1, f_2 as $f_1 = f_1^1 + f_1^2 = f_1(x)\chi_{Q^*} + f_1(x)\chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus Q^*}$, $f_2 = f_2^1 + f_2^2 = f_2(x)\chi_{Q^*} + f_2(x)\chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus Q^*}$. Let $c = c_1 + c_2 + c_3$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_1 &= T((b - \lambda)f_1^1, f_2^2)(x), \\
 c_2 &= T((b - \lambda)f_1^2, f_2^1)(x), \\
 c_3 &= T((b - \lambda)f_1^2, f_2^2)(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &\leq \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |T((b - \lambda)f_1^1, f_2^1)(z)|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta} \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |T((b - \lambda)f_1^1, f_2^2)(z) - c_1|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta} \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |T((b - \lambda)f_1^2, f_2^1)(z) - c_2|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta} \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |T((b - \lambda)f_1^2, f_2^2)(z) - c_3|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta} \\
 &= I_2^1 + I_2^2 + I_2^3 + I_2^4.
 \end{aligned}$$

We choose $1 < q < 1/(2\delta)$. By Hölder's inequality, Kolmogorov inequality and the fact that T satisfies condition (1.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^1 &\leq \left(\frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q |T((b-\lambda)f_1^1, f_2^1)(z)|^{q\delta} dz \right)^{1/q\delta} \\
&\leq C \|T((b-\lambda)f_1^1, f_2^1)\|_{L^{1/2,\infty}(Q, \frac{dx}{|Q|})} \\
&\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |(b(z)-\lambda)f_1^1(z)| dz \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_2^1(z)| dz \right) \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|f_1\|_{L(\log L), Q} \|f_2\|_{L(\log L), Q} \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(f_1, f_2)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate I_2^2 by generalized Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|T((b-\lambda)f_1^1, f_2^2)(z) - T((b-\lambda)f_1^1, f_2^2)(x)| \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \frac{C}{(|z-y_1|+|z-y_2|)^{2n}} |(b-\lambda)f_1^1(y_1)| |f_2^2(y_2)| dy_2 dy_1 \\
&\leq C \int_{Q^*} |(b-\lambda)f_1^1(y_1)| dy_1 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus Q^*} \frac{1}{|z-y_2|^{2n}} |f_2^2(y_2)| dy_2 \\
&\leq C \int_{Q^*} |(b-\lambda)f_1^1(y_1)| dy_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^k Q^* \setminus 2^{k-1} Q^*} \frac{1}{|z-y_2|^{2n}} |f_2^2(y_2)| dy_2 \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|f_1\|_{L(\log L), Q^*} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \left(\frac{1}{|2^k Q^*|} \int_{2^k Q^*} |f_2^2(y_2)| dy_2 \right) \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|f_1\|_{L(\log L), Q^*} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \|f_2\|_{L(\log L), 2^k Q^*} \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \left(\frac{1}{|Q^*|} \int_{Q^*} |f_1(y_1)|^r dy_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \left(\frac{1}{|2^k Q^*|} \int_{2^k Q^*} |f_2(y_2)|^r dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \{\mathcal{M}_\beta^1(f_1^r, f_2^r)(x)\}^{\frac{1}{r}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Based on the above estimates, we obtain

$$I_2^2 \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \{\mathcal{M}_\beta^1(f_1^r, f_2^r)(x)\}^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

For I_2^3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|T((b-\lambda)f_1^2, f_2^1)(z) - T((b-\lambda)f_1^2, f_2^1)(x)| \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \frac{C}{(|z-y_1|+|z-y_2|)^{2n}} |(b-\lambda)f_1^2(y_1)| |f_2^1(y_2)| dy_2 dy_1 \\
&\leq C \int_{Q^*} |f_2^1(y_2)| dy_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^k Q^* \setminus 2^{k-1} Q^*} \frac{|(b-\lambda)f_1^2(y_1)|}{|z-y_1|^{2n}} dy_1 \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{|Q^*|} \int_{Q^*} |f_2^1(y_2)| dy_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \frac{1}{|2^k Q^*|} \int_{2^k Q^*} |(b-\lambda)f_1^2(y_1)| dy_1 \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{|Q^*|} \int_{Q^*} |f_2^1(y_2)| dy_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \frac{1}{|2^k Q^*|} \int_{2^k Q^*} |(b-b_{2^k Q^*})f_1^2(y_1)| dy_1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +C \frac{1}{|Q^*|} \int_{Q^*} |f_2^1(y_2)| dy_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \frac{1}{|2^k Q^*|} \int_{2^k Q^*} |(b_{2^k Q^*} - b_{Q^*}) f_1^2(y_1)| dy_1 \\
& \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{L(\log L), Q^*} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \|f_1\|_{L(\log L), 2^k Q^*} \\
& \quad + C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{L(\log L), Q^*} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} k \|f_1\|_{L(\log L), 2^k Q^*} \\
& \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \left(\frac{1}{|Q^*|} \int_{Q^*} |f_2(y_2)|^r dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \left(\frac{1}{|2^k Q^*|} \int_{2^k Q^*} |f_1(y_1)|^r dy_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
& \quad + C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \left(\frac{1}{|Q^*|} \int_{Q^*} |f_2(y_2)|^r dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} k \left(\frac{1}{|2^k Q^*|} \int_{2^k Q^*} |f_1(y_1)|^r dy_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
& \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \{\mathcal{M}_{\beta}^2(f_1^r, f_2^r)(x)\}^{\frac{1}{r}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we use condition (1.7) to estimate I_2^4 . Note that for any $x, z \in Q$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus Q^*$, $|x - z| \leq n\ell(Q) \leq \frac{1}{8} \min\{|z - y_1|, |z - y_2|\}$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
& |T((b - \lambda)f_1^2, f_2^2)(z) - T((b - \lambda)f_1^2, f_2^2)(x)| \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} |K(z, y_1, y_2) - K(x, y_1, y_2)| |(b - \lambda)f_1^2(y_1)| |f_2^2(y_2)| dy_2 dy_1 \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus Q^*} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus Q^*} \frac{\ell(Q)^\gamma}{(|z - y_1| + |z - y_2|)^{2n+\gamma}} |(b - \lambda)f_1^2(y_1)| |f_2^2(y_2)| dy_2 dy_1 \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^k \ell(Q) < |z - y_1| + |z - y_2| < 2^{k+1} \ell(Q)} \frac{\ell(Q)^\gamma |(b - \lambda)f_1^2(y_1)|}{(|z - y_1| + |z - y_2|)^{2n+\gamma}} |f_2^2(y_2)| dy_2 dy_1 \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ell(Q)^\gamma}{(2^k \ell(Q))^{2n+\gamma}} \left(\int_{2^{k+2} Q^*} |(b - \lambda)f_1^2(y_1)| dy_1 \right) \left(\int_{2^{k+2} Q^*} |f_2^2(y_2)| dy_2 \right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{|2^{k+2} Q^*|} \int_{2^{k+2} Q^*} |(b - \lambda)f_1^2(y_1)| dy_1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{|2^{k+2} Q^*|} \int_{2^{k+2} Q^*} |f_2^2(y_2)| dy_2 \right) \\
& \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x).
\end{aligned}$$

According to the above estimate, we know that

$$I_2^4 \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x).$$

The proof is completed. \square

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 2.

Proof. We only write out the proof of the boundedness of T_b^1 , and the other can be got in the same method. By [22, Lemma 6.1], we know that for every $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, there exists a finite constant $1 < r_0 < \min\{p_1, p_2\}$ such that $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}/r_0}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. From Lemma 3, for $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}/r_0}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, there exists a $\beta_0 > 0$ satisfies that $\sum_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{\beta_0}^i(f_1^{r_0}, f_2^{r_0})(x)$ is bounded from $L^{p_1/r_0}(w_1)(\mathbf{R}^n) \times L^{p_2/r_0}(w_2)(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to

$L^{p/r_0}(\nu_{\vec{w}})(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\{\mathcal{M}_{\beta_0}^i(f_1^{r_0}, f_2^{r_0})(x)\}^{\frac{1}{r_0}}\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\{\mathcal{M}_{\beta_0}^i(f_1^{r_0}, f_2^{r_0})(x)\}\|_{L^{p/r_0}(\nu_{\vec{w}})}^{1/r_0} \\
&\leq C \|f_1^{r_0}\|_{L^{p_1/r_0}(w_1)}^{1/r_0} \|f_2^{r_0}\|_{L^{p_2/r_0}(w_2)}^{1/r_0} \\
&= C \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1)} \|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}(w_2)}
\end{aligned}$$

Because $\nu_{\vec{w}} \in A_{2p}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset A_{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, using inequality (1.8) and Lemma 5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|T_b^1(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \leq \|M_{\delta}(T_b^1(\vec{f}))\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \leq C \|M_{\delta}^{\#}(T_b^1(\vec{f}))\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \|\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x) + M_{\epsilon}(T(\vec{f}))(x) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \{\mathcal{M}_{\beta_0}^i(f_1^{r_0}, f_2^{r_0})(x)\}^{1/r_0}\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \left(\|\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x)\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} + \|M_{\epsilon}(T(\vec{f}))(x)\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\{\mathcal{M}_{\beta_0}^i(f_1^{r_0}, f_2^{r_0})(x)\}^{1/r_0}\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

If we take ϵ small, we can use Lemma 4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|M_{\epsilon}^{\#}(T(\vec{f}))\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} &\leq C \|\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} + C \|\mathcal{M}_1(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \\
&\leq C \|\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} + C \|\mathcal{M}_1(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now the desired result follows from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.

In the above proof, we note that when we use the inequality (1.8) we need to explain that $\|M_{\epsilon}(T(\vec{f}))\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})}$ and $\|M_{\delta}(T_b^1(\vec{f}))\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})}$ are finite. A detailed proof was given in page 33 of [22], and the proof can also be applied to here owing to the boundedness of T which was proved in [20, Theorem 2]. The reader can see [22] and [20]. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The idea of considering truncated operators to prove compactness results in the linear setting can trace back to [21], and this method was adopted in [6]. Recently, Bényi et al. (see [1]) introduced a new smooth truncation to simplify the computations. We will use this technique to prove Theorem 1.

Let $\varphi = \varphi(x, y_1, y_2)$ be a non-negative function in $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{3n})$, and it satisfy $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \{(x, y_1, y_2) : \max(|x|, |y_1|, |y_2|) < 1\}$, $\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3n}} \varphi(u) du = 1$. For $\delta > 0$, let $\chi^{\delta} = \chi^{\delta}(x, y_1, y_2)$ be the characteristic function of the set $\{(x, y_1, y_2) : \max(|x - y_1|, |x - y_2|) \geq 3\delta/2\}$, and let

$$\psi^{\delta} = \varphi_{\delta} * \chi^{\delta},$$

where $\varphi_{\delta}(x, y_1, y_2) = (\delta/4)^{-3n} \varphi(4x/\delta, 4y_1/\delta, 4y_2/\delta)$. By an easy calculation, we get that $\psi^{\delta} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{3n})$, $\|\psi^{\delta}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1$,

$$\text{supp } \psi^{\delta} \subset \{(x, y_1, y_2) : \max(|x - y_1|, |x - y_2|) \geq \delta\},$$

and $\psi^{\delta}(x, y_1, y_2) = 1$ if $\max(|x - y_1|, |x - y_2|) \geq 2\delta$.

We define the truncated kernel

$$K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2) = \psi^\delta(x, y_1, y_2)K(x, y_1, y_2),$$

where $K(x, y_1, y_2)$ is the kernel associated to the bilinear singular integral operator T considered in Theorem 1. It's easy to verify that K^δ also satisfies condition (1.2) and (1.7). Denote by T^δ the bilinear operator that associated with kernel K^δ in the sense of (1.1). The following Lemma was proved in [1]:

Lemma 6. *For all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $b, b_1, b_2 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, if $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|[b, T^\delta]_1 - [b, T]_1\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1) \times L^{p_2}(w_2) \rightarrow L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} &= 0, \\ \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|[b, T^\delta]_2 - [b, T]_2\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1) \times L^{p_2}(w_2) \rightarrow L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} &= 0, \\ \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|[b_2, [b_1, T^\delta]_1]_2 - [b_2, [b_1, T]_1]_2\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1) \times L^{p_2}(w_2) \rightarrow L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the size condition (1.2), Lemma 6 can be proved by the argument used in [1].

Lemma 7. *Suppose that T is as in Theorem 1. Then, for all $\zeta > 0$, there exists a positive constant C such that for all \vec{f} in the product of $L^{p_j}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $1 \leq p_j < \infty$ and all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$*

$$T^*(\vec{f})(x) \leq C(M_\zeta(T(\vec{f}))(x)) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{2,i}(\vec{f})(x) + \mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x),$$

where $T^*(\vec{f})$ is the maximal truncated bilinear singular integral operator defined as

$$T^*(f_1, f_2) = \sup_{\eta > 0} \left| \int \int_{\max(|x-y_1|, |x-y_2|) > \eta} K(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \right|.$$

The proof of the Lemma 7 is similar to the proof of [15, Theorem 1], so we leave it to the interested reader.

Lemma 8. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{H} \subset L^p(w)$. If*

- (i) \mathcal{H} is bounded in $L^p(w)$;
- (ii) $\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| > A} |f(x)|^p w(x) dx = 0$ uniformly for $f \in \mathcal{H}$;
- (iii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|f(\cdot + t) - f(\cdot)\|_{L^p(w)} = 0$ uniformly for $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

then \mathcal{H} is precompact in $L^p(w)$.

This Lemma was given in [6].

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof. We will work with the commutator $[b, T]_1$ first, and the proof of commutator $[b, T]_2$ can be get by symmetry. From Lemma 6, we only need to prove the compactness of $[b, T^\delta]_1$ for any fixed $\delta \leq 1/8$. By Theorem 2, it suffices to show the result for $b \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Suppose f_1, f_2 belong to

$$B_1(L^{p_1}(w_1)) \times B_1(L^{p_2}(w_2)) = \{(f_1, f_2) : \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1)}, \|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}(w_2)} \leq 1\},$$

where $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}}$. We need to prove that the following three conditions hold:

- (a) $[b, T^\delta]_1(B_1(L^{p_1}(w_1)) \times B_1(L^{p_2}(w_2)))$ is bounded in $L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})$;
- (b) $\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| > A} |[b, T^\delta]_1(f_1, f_2)(x)|^p \nu_{\vec{w}}(x) dx = 0$;

- (c) Given $0 < \xi < 1/8$, there exists a sufficiently small t_0 ($t_0 = t_0(\xi)$) such that for all $0 < |t| < t_0$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \|[b, T^\delta]_1(f_1, f_2)(\cdot) - [b, T^\delta]_1(f_1, f_2)(\cdot + t)\|_{L^p(\nu_{\bar{w}})} \leq C\xi.$$

It is easy to find that the condition (a) holds because of the boundedness of $[b, T^\delta]_1$ in Theorem 2. Now, we prove the condition (b) using some ideas in [17]. Let $R > 0$ be large enough such that $\text{supp } b \subset B(0, R)$ and let $A \geq \max(2R, 1)$, l be a nonnegative integer. For any $|x| > A$, denote

$$V_R^0(x) = \int_{|y_2| \leq |x|} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} |K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2)| \prod_{j=1}^2 |f_j(y_j)| dy_1 dy_2,$$

$$V_R^l(x) = \int_{2^{l-1}|x| \leq |y_2| \leq 2^l|x|} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} |K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2)| \prod_{j=1}^2 |f_j(y_j)| dy_1 dy_2,$$

when $l > 0$. From condition (1.2), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} V_R^l(x) &\leq C \int_{2^{l-1}|x| \leq |y_2| \leq 2^l|x|} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{1}{(|x - y_1| + |x - y_2|)^{2n}} |f_1(y_1)| |f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\leq C \int_{2^{l-1}|x| \leq |y_2| \leq 2^l|x|} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} \frac{|f_1(y_1)| |f_2(y_2)|}{(|x| + |x - y_2|)^{2n}} dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{(2^{l-1}|x|)^{2n}} \int_{2^{l-1}|x| \leq |y_2| \leq 2^l|x|} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} |f_1(y_1)| |f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{(2^{l-1}|x|)^{2n}} \left(\int_{B(0, R)} w_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}}(y_1) dy_1 \right)^{1-1/p_1} \left(\int_{B(0, 2^l|x|)} w_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}}(y_2) dy_2 \right)^{1-1/p_2}. \end{aligned}$$

The same estimate can be got for $V_R^0(x)$. Note that $w_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}} \in A_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, so there exists a constant $\theta_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\int_{B(0, R)} w_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}}(y_1) dy_1 \leq C(2^{-(j+l)} R A^{-1})^{n\theta_1} \int_{B(0, 2^{l+j}A)} w_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}}(y_1) dy_1.$$

Since $p > 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{2^{j-1}A \leq |x| \leq 2^jA} |[b, T^\delta]_1(f_1, f_2)(x)|^p \nu_{\bar{w}}(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{2^{j-1}A \leq |x| \leq 2^jA} |V_R^l(x)|^p \nu_{\bar{w}}(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{2^{j-1}A \leq |x| \leq 2^jA} \frac{1}{(2^{l-1}|x|)^{2np}} \nu_{\bar{w}}(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{B(0, R)} w_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}}(y_1) dy_1 \right)^{1-1/p_1} \left(\int_{B(0, 2^{l+j}A)} w_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}}(y_2) dy_2 \right)^{1-1/p_2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2^{l+j-2}A)^{-2n} (2^{-(j+l)} R A^{-1})^{n\theta_1(1-1/p_1)} \left(\int_{B(0, 2^jA)} \nu_{\bar{w}}(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{B(0, 2^{l+j}A)} w_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}}(y_1) dy_1 \right)^{1-1/p_1} \left(\int_{B(0, 2^{l+j}A)} w_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}}(y_2) dy_2 \right)^{1-1/p_2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq C \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2^{l+j} A)^{-2n} (2^{-(j+l)} R A^{-1})^{n\theta_1(1-1/p_1)} (2^{j+l} A)^{2n} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{l(-n\theta_1(1-1/p_1))} 2^{j(-n\theta_1(1-1/p_1))} (R/A)^{n\theta_1(1-1/p_1)} \\
 &\leq C 2^{j(-n\theta_1(1-1/p_1))} (R/A)^{n\theta_1(1-1/p_1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is easy to see,

$$\left(\int_{|x|>A} |[b, T^\delta]_1(f_1, f_2)(x)|^p \nu_{\vec{w}}(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C(R/A)^{n\theta_1(1-1/p_1)} \rightarrow 0.$$

as $A \rightarrow \infty$.

So, it suffices to verify condition (c). To prove (4.3), we decompose the expression inside the $L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})$ norm as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[b, T^\delta]_1(f_1, f_2)(x) - [b, T^\delta]_1(f_1, f_2)(x+t) \\
 &= \int \int_{\min(|x-y_1|, |x-y_2|) > \eta} K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2)(b(x+t) - b(x)) \prod_{j=1}^2 f_j(y_j) d\vec{y} \\
 &\quad + \int \int_{\min(|x-y_1|, |x-y_2|) > \eta} (K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2) - K^\delta(x+t, y_1, y_2))(b(y_1) - b(x+t)) \prod_{j=1}^2 f_j(y_j) d\vec{y} \\
 &\quad + \int \int_{\min(|x-y_1|, |x-y_2|) < \eta} K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2)(b(y_1) - b(x)) \prod_{j=1}^2 f_j(y_j) d\vec{y} \\
 &\quad + \int \int_{\min(|x-y_1|, |x-y_2|) < \eta} K^\delta(x+t, y_1, y_2)(b(x+t) - b(y_1)) \prod_{j=1}^2 f_j(y_j) d\vec{y} \\
 &= A(x) + B(x) + C(x) + D(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \eta < 1$ and the choice of η will be specified later.

Now we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
 E &= \{(x, y_1, y_2) : \min(|x - y_1|, |x - y_2|) > \eta\}, \\
 F &= \{(x, y_1, y_2) : \max(|x - y_1|, |x - y_2|) > 2\delta\}, \\
 G &= \{(x, y_1, y_2) : \max(|x - y_1|, |x - y_2|) > \eta\}, \\
 H &= \{(x, y_1, y_2) : \delta < \max(|x - y_1|, |x - y_2|) < 2\delta\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2) = K(x, y_1, y_2)$ on F . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \int \int_E K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2 - \int \int_G K(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \right| \\
 &= \left| \int \int_{(E \cap F) \cup (E \cap H)} K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int \int_{(E \cap F) \cup (G \setminus (E \cap F))} K(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left| \int \int_{E \cap H} K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \right| \\
&\quad + \int \int_{G \cap E^c} |K(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\
&\quad + \int \int_{G \cap F^c \cap E} |K(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\
&\quad + \int \int_{G \cap F^c \cap E^c} |K(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate the above four parts using condition (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int \int_{E \cap H} K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \right| \\
&\leq \int \int_H \frac{|f_1(y_1)| |f_2(y_2)|}{(|x - y_1| + |x - y_2|)^{2n}} dy_1 dy_2 \\
&\leq C \mathcal{M}(f_1, f_2)(x). \\
&\int \int_{G \cap E^c} |K(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\
&\leq \int_{|x - y_1| < \eta} \int_{|x - y_2| > \eta} \frac{|f_1(y_1)| |f_2(y_2)|}{(|x - y_1| + |x - y_2|)^{2n}} dy_1 dy_2 \\
&\leq \int_{|x - y_1| < \eta} |f_1(y_1)| dy_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k-1}\eta < |x - y_2| < 2^k \eta} \frac{|f_2(y_2)|}{|x - y_2|^{2n}} dy_2 \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \frac{1}{|B(x, \eta)|} \int_{B(x, \eta)} |f_1(y_1)| dy_1 \frac{1}{|B(x, 2^k \eta)|} \int_{B(x, 2^k \eta)} |f_2(y_2)| dy_2 \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{2,i}(f_1, f_2)(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where the set $G \cap E^c$ includes two cases: $\{(x, y_1, y_2) : |x - y_1| < \eta, |x - y_2| > \eta\}$ and $\{(x, y_1, y_2) : |x - y_1| > \eta, |x - y_2| < \eta\}$. Since the estimates on these two regions are similar, we omit the late one. This method will be used several times in the following.

Because $\eta < |x - y_1| < 2\delta$, $\eta < |x - y_2| < 2\delta$ when $(x, y_1, y_2) \in G \cap F^c \cap E$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \int_{G \cap F^c \cap E} |K(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\
&\leq 4\delta \int \int_G \frac{|f_1(y_1)| |f_2(y_2)|}{(|x - y_1| + |x - y_2|)^{2n+1}} dy_1 dy_2 \leq C \frac{\delta}{\eta} \mathcal{M}(f_1, f_2)(x), \\
&\int \int_{G \cap F^c \cap E^c} |K(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\
&\leq \int_{|x - y_1| < \eta} \int_{|x - y_2| > \eta} \frac{|f_1(y_1)| |f_2(y_2)|}{(|x - y_1| + |x - y_2|)^{2n}} dy_1 dy_2 \leq C \sum_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{2,i}(f_1, f_2)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

In summary, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A(x)| &\leq C|t|\|\nabla b\|_{L^\infty} \left| \int \int_E K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \right| \\ &\leq C|t|\|\nabla b\|_{L^\infty} \left(T^*(f_1, f_2)(x) + \frac{1}{\eta} \mathcal{M}(f_1, f_2)(x) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{2,i}(f_1, f_2)(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2, Lemma 7 and [22, Theorem 3.7], we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \|A\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \leq C|t|(1 + 1/\eta).$$

In order to estimate $B(x)$, by a consequence of condition (1.7), we have

$$|K(x, y_1, y_2) - K(x', y_1, y_2)| \leq \frac{D|x - x'|^\gamma}{(|x - y_1| + |x - y_2|)^{2n+\gamma}}$$

when $|x - x'| \leq \frac{1}{8} \min |x - y_1|, |x - y_2|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |B(x)| &\leq C\|b\|_{L^\infty} \int \int_E |K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2) - K^\delta(x + t, y_1, y_2)| |f_1(y_1)| |f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\leq C\|b\|_{L^\infty} |t|^\gamma \int \int_G \frac{|f_1(y_1)| |f_2(y_2)|}{(|x - y_1| + |x - y_2|)^{2n+\gamma}} dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\leq C\|b\|_{L^\infty} \frac{|t|^\gamma}{\eta^\gamma} \mathcal{M}(f_1, f_2)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(4.3) \quad \|B\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \leq C \frac{|t|^\gamma}{\eta^\gamma}.$$

For any $0 < \beta < 1$, we have $|b(x) - b(y_1)| \leq |x - y_1|^\beta$. Hence, using the size condition (1.2) and the property of the support of $K^\delta(x, y_1, y_2)$, we can estimate the third term:

$$\begin{aligned} |C(x)| &\leq C\|\nabla b\|_{L^\infty} \eta \int_{|x-y_1|<\eta} \int_{|x-y_2|>\eta} \frac{|f_1(y_1)| |f_2(y_2)|}{(|x - y_1| + |x - y_2|)^{2n}} dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\quad + C \int_{|x-y_1|>\eta} \int_{|x-y_2|<\eta} \frac{|f_1(y_1)| |f_2(y_2)|}{(|x - y_1| + |x - y_2|)^{2n-\beta}} dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\leq C\eta \mathcal{M}_{2,1}(f_1, f_2)(x) \\ &\quad + C \int_{|x-y_2|<\eta} |f_2(y_2)| dy_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k-1}\eta < |x-y_1| < 2^k\eta} \frac{|f_1(y_1)|}{|x - y_1|^{2n-\beta}} dy_1 \\ &\leq C(\eta \mathcal{M}_{2,1}(f_1, f_2)(x) + \eta^\beta \mathcal{M}_\beta^2(f_1, f_2)(x)), \end{aligned}$$

provided $\eta < \delta$. From Lemma 3, we know that

$$(4.4) \quad \|C\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \leq C\eta,$$

when we take sufficiently small β .

Finally, for the last part we proceed in a similar way, by replacing x with $x + t$ and the region of integration E^c with a larger one $\{(x, y_1, y_2) : \min(|x + t - y_1|, |x + t - y_2|) < \eta + |t|\}$. By the fact that $x \in B(x + t, \eta + |t|)$, where $B(x + t, \eta + |t|)$ denote the ball centered at $x + t$ and with radius $\eta + |t|$, we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \|D\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \leq C(|t| + \eta).$$

Let us now define $t_0 = \xi^2$ and for each $0 < |t| < t_0$, choose $\eta = |t|/\xi$. Then inequalities (4.2)-(4.5) imply (4.1), and in this way, we can conclude that $[b, T]_1$ is compact. By symmetry, $[b, T]_2$ is also compact. \square

Acknowledgement 1. *This research was supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 11271330).*

REFERENCES

- [1] Á. Bényi, W. Damián, K. Moen and R.H. Torres, Compact bilinear commutators: the weighted case, submitted (2013) available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.6268v1>.
- [2] Á. Bényi and R.H. Torres, Compact bilinear operators and commutators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (2013), no. 10, 3609–3621.
- [3] A. P. Calderón, Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method, Studia Math. 24 (1964), 113–190.
- [4] Y. Chen, Y. Ding and X. Wang, Compactness of commutators for singular integrals on Morrey spaces, Canad. J. Math. 64 (2012), no. 2, 257–281.
- [5] Y. Chen, Y. Ding and X. Wang, Compactness of commutators of Riesz potential on Morrey spaces, Potential Anal. 30 (2009), 301–313.
- [6] A. Clop and V. Cruz, Weighted estimates for Beltrami equations, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 38 (2013), 91–113.
- [7] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, Nonlinear harmonic analysis, operator theory and PDE. In: Beijing Lectures in Harmonic Analysis, Ann. Math. Stud. 112., Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1986), 3–45.
- [8] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, On commutators of singular integrals and bilinear singular integrals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 212 (1975), 315–331.
- [9] X.T. Duong, R. Gong, L. Grafakos, J. Li and L. Yan, Maximal operator for multilinear singular integrals with non-smooth kernels, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 58 (2009), 2517–2541.
- [10] X.T. Duong, L. Grafakos and L. Yan, Multilinear operators with non-smooth kernels and commutators of singular integrals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), 2089–2113.
- [11] X.T. Duong and A. McIntosh, Singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels on irregular domains, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana. 15 (1999), 233–265.
- [12] C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 137–193.
- [13] L. Grafakos, Modern Fourier Analysis, second edition, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 250, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [14] L. Grafakos, L. Liu and D. Yang, Multiple-weighted norm inequalities for maximal multilinear singular integrals with non-smooth kernels, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A. 141 (2011), 755–775.
- [15] L. Grafakos and R. H. Torres, Maximal operator and weighted norm inequalities for multilinear singular integrals, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 51 (2002), 1261–1276.
- [16] L. Grafakos and R. H. Torres, Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory. Adv. Math. 165 (2002), 124–164.
- [17] G. Hu, Weighted compact commutator of bilinear Fourier multiplier operator, preprint.
- [18] G. Hu and S. Lu, Weighted estimates for the multilinear singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels, Sci. China Math. 54 (2011), 587–602.
- [19] G. Hu and D. Yang, Weighted estimates for singular integral operators with nonsmooth kernels and applications, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 85 (2008), 377–417.
- [20] G. Hu and Y. Zhu, Weighted norm inequality with general weights for the commutator of Calderón, Acta. Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 29 (2013), 505–514.
- [21] S.G. Krantz and S.Y. Li, Boundedness and compactness of integral operators on spaces of homogeneous type and applications, II, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 258 (2001), no. 2, 642–657.
- [22] A. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, C. Pérez, R. H. Torres and R. Trujillo-González, New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory, Adv. Math. 220 (2009), 1222–1264.
- [23] C. Pérez, Weighted norm inequalities for singular integral operators, J. London Math. Soc. 49 (1994) 296–308.

- [24] C. Pérez, Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integral operators, J. Funct. Anal. 128 (1995) 163–185.
- [25] C. Pérez, G. Pradolini, R.H. Torres, and R. Trujillo-González, End-points estimates for iterated commutators of multilinear singular integrals, Bull. London Math. Soc. 46 (2014), 26–42.
- [26] M.M. Rao and Z.D. Ren, Theory of Orlicz Space, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.
- [27] A. Uchiyama, On the compactness of operators of Hankel type, Tôhoku Math. J. 30 (1978), no. 1, 163–171.
- [28] K. Yosida, Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

(A. One and A. Two) AUTHOR ONE: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310027, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address, A. One: `burui00@163.com`

Current address, A. Two: Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, P.R. China

E-mail address, A. Two: `jcchen@zjnu.edu.cn`